Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) adults and youth smoke at substantially higher rates than the general population. The factors driving LGBT disparities in tobacco use include stress due to social stigma and discrimination, peer pressure, aggressive marketing by the tobacco industry and limited access to effective tobacco treatment. Data highlighted in this issue brief present compelling evidence for designating the LGBT community as a priority population for tobacco control, similar to racial and ethnic groups disproportionately affected by smoking. This issue brief also outlines how policymakers, health care organizations, and LGBT health advocates can reduce the impact of tobacco on the LGBT community through culturally appropriate policy, research, and community-based strategies.

**SMOKING RATES: What We Know**

Although few studies have examined the link between sexual orientation and smoking, it is clear that LGBT individuals have a higher smoking rate than the general population. Only six states have published reports on tobacco use by sexual orientation: Arizona, California, Massachusetts, New Mexico, Oregon, and Washington. All six of these states found significantly elevated smoking rates in the LGBT community. A 2009 review of 42 separate studies measuring tobacco use among lesbians, gays, and bisexuals reported consistently higher prevalence of smoking among sexual minorities. Other studies have reported smoking prevalence among gay and bisexual men is 27% to 71% higher and for lesbians and bisexual women, 70% to 350% higher than prevalence observed for comparable gender groups in the general population.
On the whole, bisexual men and women appear to have the highest smoking rates of any subgroup for which data is readily available.

- For all of the state surveys that collected data on bisexuals, smoking rates for this group was higher than 30 percent, peaking at a high of 39.1 percent.4,5,6,7,8,9

- Among bisexual women, the odds of smoking ranged from 1.5 to 3.5 higher than for straight women. Lesbians had between 1.2 and 2.0 times the odds of smoking compared to straight women. The odds of smoking for bisexual men were 0.9 to 2.6, when compared to straight men. Compared to straight men, gay men have between 1.1 and 2.4 times the odds of smoking.5

- Very little data are available by racial and ethnic background. One study that looked at gay and bisexual men together found elevated smoking rates among whites and Hispanics, but not among Native Americans or Asian/Pacific Islanders.10

Almost no information exists on smoking rates among transgender people. However, this population is considered especially vulnerable given their high rates of substance abuse, depression, HIV infection, and social and employment discrimination, all of which are characteristics associated with higher smoking prevalence in the general population.11 The 2004 California Tobacco Use Survey found that about 2 percent of all LGBT adults identify as transgender. At 30.7 percent, their smoking prevalence was very close to the overall LGBT rate of 30.4 percent.12
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Straight</th>
<th>LGBT</th>
<th>Bisexual</th>
<th>Lesbian/Gay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEN</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMEN</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIFORNIA</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILLINOIS</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASSACHUSETTS</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW MEXICO</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASHINGTON</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Estimates of smoking prevalence among LGBT youth range from 38% to 59%, compared to a prevalence rate of 28% to 35% for the total youth population, according to the 2009 review.2

- In a large study of multiple behavioral risk factors, bisexual boys were twice as likely to smoke regularly as either gay or straight boys. Exclusively homosexual (gay) boys and girls did not differ significantly from their straight counterparts in their likelihood of smoking regularly.13

- In a study of 10,685 adolescents living throughout the U.S., researchers found that compared to heterosexuals, “mostly heterosexual” girls were 2.5 times more likely, and lesbian/bisexual girls were an alarming 9.7 times more likely to smoke at least weekly. Boys identified as “mostly heterosexual” were 2.5 times more likely than heterosexual boys to smoke at least weekly, but gay/bisexual boys were no more likely to smoke than heterosexual boys.14

Until more national and state tobacco use surveys include questions about sexual orientation and gender identity, the research and public health community has to rely primarily on information collected by smaller, often localized studies. Small numbers of participants, as well as regional differences, can yield results that may not be representative of the nation at large. In addition, significant findings about smaller subsets of the study population, including transgender individuals and LGBT people of color, can be missed if too few participants were included in the study.15
CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Young people are especially susceptible to smoking. Indeed, the vast majority of smokers start smoking before the age of 21. While LGBT people face the same pressures to smoke as the general population, LGBT individuals are at risk for a number of additional reasons including:

**Stigma and Discrimination**
LGBT populations often experience high stress due to marginalization and discrimination in personal interactions and in society at large. Actual or even perceived stigma causes stress, and research has shown that smoking rates, as well as other negative health behaviors and outcomes are higher in groups that experience high levels of stress. Among LGBT youth, stress due to homelessness, coming out at an early age, rejection by family and peers and discrimination are among the most frequently cited reasons for smoking.

**Social Bonding and the Bar Culture**
LGBT people in some areas have limited opportunities to interact with peers outside of smoking venues. Historically, bars were among the few safe spaces for LGBT people, and they have played an important social role in the LGBT community for many decades.

**Lack of Access to Quality Treatment and Care**
Individuals in same-sex relationships are significantly less likely to have health insurance than those in opposite-sex relationships. Additionally, in one study, LGBT participants were twice as likely to report being denied or given inferior medical care as their straight counterparts.

**Targeting by the Tobacco Industry**
The tobacco industry aggressively targets LGBT audiences for advertising. Tobacco advertisements in gay and lesbian publications often depict tobacco use as a “normal” part of LGBT life. According to a 2005 study, 30% of non-tobacco advertisements in LGBT publications, such as those that promote entertainment products and venues, sexual services, clothing, and even rehab programs—feature tobacco use.

**Acceptance of the Status Quo by LGBT Advocacy Organizations**
Many leaders of LGBT organizations do not view tobacco control as a priority health issue. In interviews with 74 LGBT leaders, some expressed the opinion that drinking and smoking were central to the coming out process for many people. While leaders recognized that smoking is dangerous to one’s health, some also noted that combating smoking could jeopardize tobacco industry funding to their organizations.

**Promising Intervention: The Last Drag**
Created by the Coalition of Lavender Americans on Smoking and Health, The Last Drag has been offered in several cities throughout California and around the country. The Last Drag provides a safe space for LGBT smokers to go through the quitting process in a supportive group environment. A 2007 report on The Last Drag classes in San Francisco showed among those who completed the program, 85 percent were able to quit smoking. Six months after the program ended, 55 percent of those contacted were still smokefree.
MOVING FORWARD
Policy And Environmental Change Protects Everyone

Research demonstrates that a comprehensive approach works best: a combination of policy change, prevention messaging campaigns targeted to vulnerable populations, and tobacco cessation services. The American Lung Association calls on governmental agencies, healthcare systems, LGBT health advocates, and community members to work together to take the following actions:

01 **Support Evidence-Based Tobacco Control Policies**
Interventions targeting priority populations including the LGBT community are more likely to succeed when communities adopt proven tobacco control policies, such as higher taxes on cigarettes and other tobacco products, prohibiting smoking in all public spaces and workplaces and coverage for tobacco cessation programs by both public and private health insurance plans.

02 **Recognize LGBT Communities as a Priority Population**
Public and private funders should recognize LGBT communities as a priority population for tobacco prevention and cessation services. Funding should be provided to encourage the research community to evaluate promising innovations and interventions to prevent tobacco use and to promote quitting in LGBT communities.

03 **Collect Data on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in Health Studies and Tobacco Cessation Programs**
The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and all state Departments of Health should include sexual orientation and gender identity questions in the core demographic questions of state and national public health surveillance systems such as the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) and the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS). Smoking cessation programs including members of The North American Quitline Consortium (NAQC) should include sexual orientation as a standardized core demographic question during intake.

04 **Ensure LGBT Cultural Competency in Tobacco Control Planning and Cessation Programs**
State, local, and health care systems’ tobacco control programs should include representatives from LGBT organizations in disparity reduction planning and intervention development and should ensure prevention and cessation program staff and volunteers are culturally competent and able to effectively serve the LGBT community.

05 **Consider Alternative Funding Sources**
To effectively advocate on behalf of LGBT communities, LGBT advocacy organizations should identify alternative funding sources to tobacco industry sponsorship. Tobacco companies have been known to offer funding to groups contingent on those groups using their cessation interventions. Industry-created interventions have been proven ineffective at best, and some have been shown to actually increase youth susceptibility to smoking.

**Conclusions**
In spite of the progress that has been made on tobacco control in the United States, smoking continues to claim lives and rob millions of their health. To develop an effective public health response to smoking in lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender communities, more data is urgently needed about the impact of smoking on these groups. By focusing on the challenges and recommendations highlighted in this issue brief, communities can make significant strides in improving the health of their LGBT populations.
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